Many full-text modeling papers and movies at section and my researchgate sites

http://www.researchgate.net/profile/Stephan_Sobolev/

Lecture 6. Subduction, and subduction orogeny

Outline

- Driving versus resisting forces- a key is subduction channel
- Subduction initiation –a key problem of plate tectonics
- Subduction initiation in early Earth
- Mature subduction-effect of mantle viscosity
- Subduction orogeny (Central Andes)

Appropriate model setup

Conventional boundary condition.



Pull from the slab portion below 400 km is ignored.

Appropriate model setup



Sobolev and Babeyko, Geology, 2005

Frame 001 | 25 Sep 2004 | landing

At friction in subduction channel > or = 0.1 subduction does nor survive (see Fr0_1.avi)



Spontaneous initiation at transform fault



Geological examples known (Stern, 2005) but was not confirmed by modeling (Gurnis et al., 2004)

Forced initiation at transform fault



Problem 3D!

(Hall et al., 2003, Gurnis et al., 2004)

Wilson cycle



Initiation





Implicit, Eulerian, FD, codes I2VIS, I2ELVIS, Gerya, ETH, Zürich

Nikolaeva et al, JGR , 2010

Initiation





Nikolaeva et al, JGR , 2010

Initiation





Very thin (70 km lithosphere) is required!

Nikolaeva et al, JGR , 2010

Pull from old subducted slab? *Initiation*





Pull from old subducted slab? *Initiation*



Setting the model up

Pull from old subducted slab? *Initiation*



Pull from old subducted slab? *Initiation*



Pull from old subducted slab? *Initiation*



Problem 3D!

Possible subduction initiation in Atlantics



Possible subduction initiation in Atlantics



When the plate tectonics started on Earth?

Diamond inclusions

- > 3 Ga: peridotitic
- < 3Ga: eclogitic + peridotitic

→ Plate tectonics started ~ 3Ga



(Shirey & Richardson, 2011)

Zircon Age Distribution through time. Monitor of Continental Crust growth



What do these age peaks indicate?

Condie & Aster, 2009

First subduction

LETTER

doi:10.1038/nature13728

Spreading continents kick-started plate tectonics

Patrice F. Rey[1], Nicolas Coltice[2,3] & Nicolas Flament[1]

Stresses acting on cold, thick and negatively buoyant oceanic lithosphere are thought to be crucial to the initiation of subduction and the operation of plate tectonics[1,2], which characterizes the present-day geodynamics of the Earth. Because the Earth's interior was hotter in the Archaean eon, the oceanic crust may have been thicker, thereby making the oceanic lithosphere more buoyant than at present[3], and whether subduction and plate tectonics occurred during this time is ambiguous, both in the geological record and in geodynamic models[4]. Here we show that because the oceanic crust was thick and buoyant[5], early continents may have produced intra-lithospheric gravitational stresses large enough to drive their gravitational spreading, to initiate subduction at their margins and to trigger episodes of subduction. Our model predicts the co-occurrence of deep to progressively shallower mafic volcanics and arc magmatism within continents in a self-consistent geodynamic framework, explaining the enigmatic

that of present-day tectonic forces driving orogenesis[1]. To explore the tectonic impact of a thick and buoyant continent surrounded by a stagnant lithospheric lid, we produced a series of two-dimensional thermo-mechanical numerical models of the top 700 km of the Earth, using temperature-dependent densities and visco-plastic rheologies that depend on temperature, melt fraction and depletion, stress and strain rate (see Methods). The initial temperature field is the horizontally averaged temperature profile of a stagnant-lid convection calculation for a mantle ~200 K hotter than at present (Fig. 1A, a and Extended Data Fig. 2). The absence of lateral temperature gradients ensures that no convective stresses act on the lid, allowing us to isolate the dynamic effects of the continent. A buoyant and stiff continent 225 km thick (strongly depleted mantle root 170 km thick overlain by felsic crust 40 km thick; see Fig. 1B, a) is inserted within the lid, on the left side of the domain to exploit the symmetry of the problem (Fig. 1A, a). A mafic crust 15 km thick covers the

First subduction



First subduction: Initiation by plume



Problem 3D!

Ueda, Gerya, Sobolev (2008)

Initiation by plume



3D model

(Gerya et al. Nature 2015)



Initiation by plume

(Gerya et al. Nature 2015)





Mature

Study of effect of TZ and lower mantle viscosity and phase transformations on self-consistent slab dynamics

Code: elasto-visco-plastic, implicit (SLIM2D), disl. +dif.+P creep in upper mantle, TZ and lower mantle optional

Quinteros, Sobolev, Popov, 2010

Effect of TZ and lower mantle viscosity

Mature

(viscosity in TZ 3*10^20, LM 3*10^21) **Quinteros et al., 2010**



Effect of TZ and lower mantle viscosity

Mature

(viscosity in TZ 3*10^21,LM 1.5*10^22)   Quinteros et al., 2010



Conclusions

- Subduction survives only if friction in subduction channel is below 0.1 –need for high-pressure fluid in the channel
- Subduction initiation at passive margin (Wilson cycle) is unlikely unless there is strong mantle suction flow.
- Spontanios subduction initiation at transform fault is not yet confirmed by model., while modeling confirms forced initiation.
- First subduction at Earth might have been initiated by mantle plume.
- Style of internally consistent dynamic subduction is largely controled be lower mantle and TZ viscosity. Plausible range of TZ viscosity is 3*10^20-^10^21 and LM viscosity 5-10 times higher.

Andean Orogeny



Orogeny

Why intensive orogeny occurred only in Cenozoic and only in the Central Andes?

The central Andes model

Orogeny

Friction μ = 0.05



Sobolev and Babeyko, Geology, 2005

Factors controlling Andean orogeny

Orogeny



The key factors controlling Andean orogeny were:
(i) overriding rate of South America plate,
(ii) friction in subduction channel,
(iii) initial thickness of the upper-plate crust



Babeyko and Sobolev, 2005, Babeyko et al., 2006, Sobolev and Babeyko, 2005; Sobolev et al., 2006

Seismic tomography

Delamination



Delamination of lithospheric mantle



Sobolev et al., 2006